Priorities of Self-Actualization among the Academic Leaders of Tamil Nadu Universities with Reference to Demographic Profile

R. Gopinath

D.Litt. (Business Administration)-Researcher, Madurai Kamaraj University, Tamil Nadu, India. E-Mail: dr.raju.gopinath@gmail.com

(Received 3 May 2020; Revised 11 June 2020; Accepted 20 July 2020; Available online 30 July 2020)

Abstract - Self-actualization is realizing your inherent potential, in recent days the importance of this concept is gaining attention among the researchers. Self -actualization has its own impact on the organizational behavior of employees and the behavior of self- actualized is proven better than those who are not self-actualized. Already several researches have done to find the prominence of selfactualization on employee satisfaction and performance, but the research is limited in the area of academicians. This paper is attempted to find the demographic priorities of academicians of Tamil Nadu universities on self- actualization. Descriptive research design was followed in this study. To support the objective the researcher collected 178 samples by using purposive stratified random sampling technique. With the help of ANNOVA, and Independent sample t test were used to find the results. The researcher found the demographic factors has significant and positive influence in determining the self- actualization level of academic leaders of Tamil Nadu

Keywords: Self-actualization, Demographic factors, Academic leader.

I. INTRODUCTION

The term self- actualization was coined by Maslow, and by the way of explaining its importance he called self actualization as pinnacle of needs. According to Rogers (1951) self- actualization is concerned with the getting of experience directly rather than through a system of conceptualized structures and self-actualization is a process of un-folding the self, where by the individual can understand his inborn potentialities. In his theory of motivation Maslow explained five kinds of dives which motivate an individual; those are namely physiological needs, Safety needs, belongingness needs, Esteem needs, and Self- actualization need. Even though an individual has consummate all his needs except self- actualization, the wanting in self- actualization make him to feel incomplete about his life (Gopinath,2020a) Self-actualization enables an individual to initiate a task and withstand in a particular action without the support of others. Such action might be socially acceptable, but need not be. And more over Selfactualization enables individuals to recognize his complete potential. Individual got awareness about their skills and competencies and put them into practice to achieve the desired state in life. Maslow's concept of self-actualization is considered as the peak point of the motivational theory (Maslow, 1943). But there is a vast controversy about the factors determining or the factors influencing the level of self-actualization of an individual. This paper attempted to find whether the demographic factors have any influence on the level of self -actualization of academic leaders of Tamil Nadu Universities.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Self- actualization here is the state where one can actualize their capabilities and potential (Maslow, 1968). Even though self-actualization is innate, the life experiences and learning processes from the childhood and his level of emotional intelligence has the power to manipulate individual's self-actualization level (Gopinath, 2020 b). Researches witness that that people having low financial background and who has considerably less income, have the attitude of accepting what they have and they may not have the thirst of achieving more (Mann, 1970, 1973; Parkin, 1971; Turner, 1964; Keller & Zavalloni, 1964; Simmons & Rosenberg, 1971; Kerckhoff, 1972; Scanzoni, 1967).

So social classes and financial status has influence in determining the self- actualization. Studies of Edward (1983); Skinner (1971); Gibbs (1968); Gopinath (2020 c), has found no prominent influence of gender and income level on the self- actualization of individual and self-actualization is completely regardless to gender and income level of individual but the work of Noll (1974); Otten (1977), is controversial to the above mentioned research and they find gender is an influencing factor and female are more self-actualized than men. Gopinath (2020 d) found influence of age on self-actualization and the younger people has more urged for achieving heights than older one.

The research of Chitra (2020) states education has positive influence on self -actualization of an individual even the educational level of the parents has also had some influence in determining the level of self -actualization. From the reviews we could see some demographic variables has influence in determining the self- actualization level of an individuals, in some cases we have controversies too. This paper is attempting to find whether the self- actualization level of academic leaders is influenced by the demographic factors or not.

III. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The main objective of this study is to study the influence of demographic factors on the self- actualization level of academic leaders.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study was conducted among academic leaders of Tamil Nadu University. The researcher used descriptive survey design and Kaufman's (2018) self-actualization questionnaire was used to collect data about the self-actualization of the respondents. The questionnaire was made up of two parts (A and B). Part A consist questions about the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Part B has questions about the Self-actualization of the respondents. the questions has options from which respondents are expected to pick response as applicable, as well as, has questions which allow respondents to freely express their opinion on the subject matter. Purposive stratified random sampling technique was used to select

samples from the population. A total number of two hundred and seventeen (178) academic leaders of the Tamil Nadu universities from different categories make up the sample of the study.

H0- self- actualization level will not vary significantly with variation in demographic factors like, Gender (H0a), Age (H0b), Educational Qualification (H0c), Salary (H0d), and years of experience (H0e) among the academic leaders of Tamil Nadu Universities.

Prior permission was sought from the management of the organization before collecting data from the respondents. Likewise, consent of the respondents was sought through phone call and the questionnaire was distributed through mail id of the respondent. All the respondents were made to know that they are free to back out of the study at any point in time and that information obtained from them as well as their identities will be kept anonyms and strictly confidential.

V. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

TABLE I DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF THE RESPONDENTS

Demographic Variable	Groups	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Mean	Std. Deviation
	Male	112	63.0		
Condon	Female	66	37.0	1.23	0.504
Gender Gee Group Educational Qualifications	Total	178	100.0	1	
	Below 43 yrs	32	17.9		
	43 - 48 yrs	45	25.2	1	
Age Group	49 - 53 yrs	56	31.4	3.71	0.854
	54 & Above	45	25.2		
	Total	178	100.0		
	M.Phil / Ph.D	118	66.2		
	NET/SET/Ph.D	39	21.9		
	PDF	16	8.9	2.27	0.445
Educational Qualifications	D.Lit / D.Sc	5	2.8		
	Total	178	100.0		
	VC / Registrar / COE	8	4.4		
	Dean	15	8.4		
Designation	Director	35	19.6	3.98	0.959
	SCAA/AC/Chair/ Coordinator	40	22.4		
	HOD	80	44.9		
	Total	178	100.0		
	Below 100000	21	11.7		
	100000 to 150000	87	48.8		
Colomy	150001 to 210000	43	24.5	3.80	0.854
Salary	Above 210000	27	15.0		
	Total	178	100.0		
	Below 15	28	15.7		
	15 to 20 yrs	50	28.0	1	
Voor of Ermoniones	21 to 26 yrs	54	30.3	2.56	0.855
Year of Experience	Above 26	46	25.8	1	
	Total	178	100.0	1	

The descriptive table shows, Majority (63%) of the respondents are male and most of them belong to the age group of 43 to 54 and above age group.

When it comes to the salary profile, most of them are earning around 100000 to 150000 and having around 15 to 26 years of experience.

When analyzing about the educational qualification, around 66% of the respondents has M.Phil. or Ph.D. and majority of the respondents are HODs.

TABLE II DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GENDER OF THE RESPONDENTS AND LEVEL OF SELF-ACTUALIZATION

Variables	Gender	N	Mean	F	Sig. (p)
	Male	112	9.43	0.222	0.745
Acceptance	Female	66	8.31	0.223	0.745
Authoricity	Male	112	11.43	0.313	0.678
Authenticity	Female	66	11.31	0.313	0.078
Purpose	Male	112	11.41	0.245	0.686
Turpose	Female	66	11.31	0.243	0.080
500	Male	112	11.88		0.400
Efficient perception of reality	Female	66	11.81	0.063	0.688
Humanitarianism	Male	112	12.35	6.763	0.005
Trumamanamsm	Female	66	11.77		0.003
Good Moral Intuition	Male	112	11.27	1.096	0.181
Good Moral Intuition	Female	66	11.03	1.090	
Creative spirit	Male	112	12.54	2.825	0.041
Creative spirit	Female	66	12.16	2.023	0.041
D I E	Male	112	10.53	0.261	0.500
Peak Experiences	Female	66	10.62	0.261	0.589
Equanimity	Male	112	12.49	4.519	0.029
Equalifility	Female	66	11.94	7.317	0.027
Continued Freshness of Appreciation	Male	112	11.28	0.151	0.722
Tr.	Female	66	11.22	,	0.722

From the above table the p-values of Acceptance (P=0.745), Authenticity (P=0.678), Purpose (P=0.686), Efficient perception of reality (P=0.688),

Good Moral (P=0.181), Peak Experiences (P=0.589) and Continued Freshness of Appreciation (P=0.722) are greater than 0.05.

Therefore, there is no significance difference between the gender of the academic leaders and Self-Actualization.

Since, the P-value of Humanitarianism (p=0.005) Creative spirit (P=0.041) and Equanimity (p=0.029) are less than 0.05 at 5% 0.05 level of significance.

Hence, significant difference found between the genders of the academic leaders and Self-Actualization of academic leaders.

So the null hypothesis (H0a) is partially rejected and partially supported.

TABLE III SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AGE OF THE ACADEMIC LEADERS AND SELF-ACTUALIZATION

Variables	Age	N	Mean	F	Sig. (p)
	Below 43 yrs	32	10.38		
Aggentance	43 - 48 yrs	45	10.25	1 052	0.146
Acceptance	49 - 53 yrs	56	10.55	1.853	0.146
	54 & Above	45	10.23		
	Below 43 yrs	32	10.39		
	43 - 48 yrs	45	10.15	1 652	0.166
Authenticity	49 - 53 yrs	56	11.34	1.653	0.166
,	54 & Above	45	11.56		
	Below 43 yrs	32	10.38		
	43 - 48 yrs	45	10.25	1 420	0.241
Purpose	49 - 53 yrs	56	10.55	1.420	0.241
	54 & Above	45	10.23		
	Below 43 yrs	32	10.80		
Efficient mounties of	43 - 48 yrs	45	11.57	1 200	0.214
Efficient perception of reality	49 - 53 yrs	56	10.97	1.388	
	54 & Above	45	11.09		
	Below 43 yrs	32	11.18		
TT '4 ' '	43 - 48 yrs	45	11.17	0.262	0.021
Humanitarianism	49 - 53 yrs	56	11.30	0.263	0.931
	54 & Above	45	11.19		
	Below 43 yrs	32	10.62		
	43 - 48 yrs	45	10.21	0.746	0.260
Good Moral Intuition	49 - 53 yrs	56	10.15	0.746	0.369
	54 & Above	45	10.18		İ
	Below 43 yrs	32	11.76		
	43 - 48 yrs	45	11.41	0.752	0.401
Creative spirit	49 - 53 yrs	56	11.40	0.753	0.481
	54 & Above	45	11.50		
	Below 43 yrs	32	10.76		
	43 - 48 yrs	45	09.46	0.504	0.596
Peak Experiences	49 - 53 yrs	56	09.49	0.594	0.586
•	54 & Above	45	11.68		
	Below 43 yrs	32	11.67		
	43 - 48 yrs	45	11.47	0.716	0.455
Equanimity	49 - 53 yrs	56	11.23	0.716	0.455
	54 & Above	45	11.41	<u>l </u>	
	Below 43 yrs	32	12.31		
Continued Freshness of	43 - 48 yrs 49 - 53 yrs	45 56	10.08 10.38	0.558	0.612
Appreciation	54 & Above	45	10.38	1	

Since the P value of above factors are greater than 0.05, the null hypothesis (H0b) is accepted at 5% level of significance. Hence there is no significant relationship

between the age of the respondents and self-actualization of the academic leaders.

TABLE IV DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE EDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS OF THE ACADEMIC LEADERS AND SELF-ACTUALIZATION

Variables	Educational Qualifications	N	Mean	F	Sig. (p)
	M.Phil / Ph.D	118	10.47		
Aggentance	NET/SET/Ph.D	39	10.13	2.243	0.064
Acceptance	PDF	16	09.38	2.243	0.004
	D.Lit / D.Sc	5	11.00		
	M.Phil / Ph.D	118	10.47		
	NET/SET/Ph.D	39	10.13	2.433	0.064
Authenticity	PDF	16	11.38	2.433	0.004
	D.Lit / D.Sc	5	11.00		
	M.Phil / Ph.D	118	10.43		
	NET/SET/Ph.D	39	10.34	1.561	0.144
Purpose	PDF	16	11.56	1.561	0.144
	D.Lit / D.Sc	5	11.00		
	M.Phil / Ph.D	118	12.95		
Tiggi i i i	NET/SET/Ph.D	39	12.42	1.500	0.045
Efficient perception of reality	PDF	16	11.94	1.732	0.047
	D.Lit / D.Sc	5	11.00		
	M.Phil / Ph.D	118	11.25	1 200	0.213
	NET/SET/Ph.D	39	11.16		
Humanitarianism	PDF	16	11.11	1.290	
	D.Lit / D.Sc	5	11.00		
	M.Phil / Ph.D	118	12.24		0.155
	NET/SET/Ph.D	39	11.15	1.703	
Good Moral Intuition	PDF	16	11.88	1.703	0.133
	D.Lit / D.Sc	5	12.00		İ
	M.Phil / Ph.D	118	12.49		
	NET/SET/Ph.D	39	12.21	0.483	0.665
Creative spirit	PDF	16	12.44	0.103	0.002
	D.Lit / D.Sc	5	13.00		
	M.Phil / Ph.D	118	10.61	_	
	NET/SET/Ph.D	39	10.34	2.181	0.112
Peak Experiences	PDF	16	9.56	1	
	D.Lit / D.Sc	5	11.00		
	M.Phil / Ph.D	118	12.35	1	
	NET/SET/Ph.D	39	12.34	0.728	0.489
Equanimity	PDF	16	13.00		
	D.Lit / D.Sc	5	14.00		
	M.Phil / Ph.D	118	11.34		
Continued Freshness of	NET/SET/Ph.D	39	10.79	1.591	0.257
Appreciation	PDF	16	10.75	1.371	0.231
	D.Lit / D.Sc	5	13.00		

Since the P value of above factors are greater than 0.05, the null hypothesis (H0c) is accepted at 5% level of significance. Hence there is no significant relationship

between the educational qualification of the respondents and self -actualization of the academic leaders.

 $TABLE\ V\ SHOWING\ DIFFERENCE\ BETWEEN\ THE\ DESIGNATION\ OF\ THE\ ACADEMIC\ LEADERS\ AND\ SELF-ACTUALIZATION$

Variables	Designation	N	Mean	F	Sig.(p)
	VC / Registrar / COE	8	12.94	0.831 0.981 0.676 2.262 1.449	
	Dean	15	11.19		
Acceptance	Director	35	12.07	0.831	0.456
•	SCAA/AC/Chair/ Coordinator	40	12.44		
	HOD	80	12.48		
	VC / Registrar / COE	8	12.94		
	Dean	15	12.09		
	Director	35	12.07	0.981	0.466
Authenticity	SCAA/AC/Chair/ Coordinator	40	10.44		
	HOD	80	10.48		
	VC / Registrar / COE	8	12.78		
	Dean	15	10.43	0.070	
D	Director	35	10.36	0.676	0.667
Purpose	SCAA/AC/Chair/ Coordinator	40	12.47		
	HOD	80	12.27	0.631 144 188 144 199 177 188 188 188 188 188 188	
	VC / Registrar / COE	8	11.72	2.262	
	Dean	15	10.30		
Efficient perception of	Director	35	10.38	2.262	0.075
reality	SCAA/AC/Chair/ Coordinator	40	10.94		
	HOD	80	11.76		
	VC / Registrar / COE	8	11.94		
	Dean	15	11.13		
	Director	35	11.02	1.449	0.236
Humanitarianism	SCAA/AC/Chair/ Coordinator	40	11.26		
	HOD	80	11.21		
	VC / Registrar / COE	8	12.48	1.449	
	Dean	15	12.66		
	Director	35	10.43	Q 126	0.000
Good Moral Intuition	SCAA/AC/Chair/ Coordinator	40	12.70	0.120	0.000
	HOD	80	11.58		
	VC / Registrar / COE	8	11.61		
	Dean	15	11.70		
	Director	35	13.33	0.772	0.461
Creative spirit	SCAA/AC/Chair/ Coordinator	40	11.60		
	HOD	80	11.32		
	VC / Registrar / COE	8	11.28		
	Dean	15	8.70		
	Director	35	7.88	6.301	0.000
Peak Experiences	SCAA/AC/Chair/ Coordinator	40	11.46		
	HOD	80	10.04		

	VC / Registrar / COE	8	12.22		
	Dean		11.52		
T	Director	35	11.03	18.440	0.000
Equanimity	SCAA/AC/Chair/ Coordinator	40	11.83		
	HOD	80	12.39		
	VC / Registrar / COE	8	12.33		
	Dean	15	12.65		
Continued Freshness of	Director	35	12.72	2.802	0.168
Appreciation	SCAA/AC/Chair/ Coordinator	40	10.45		
	HOD	80	10.36		

Since the p-values of Acceptance (P=0.456), Authenticity (P=0.466), Purpose (P=0.667), Efficient perception of reality (P=0.075), Creative spirit (P=0.461), Humanitarianism (p=0.236) and Continued Freshness of Appreciation (P=0.168) are greater than 0.05. Hence the Null hypothesis (H0 d) is accepted for those factors at 5% level of significance. So there is no significant relationship between the above mentioned factors and the designation of the respondents.

But the P value of Equanimity (p=0.000), Peak Experiences (P=0.000) and Good Moral (P=0.000) are less than 0.05 the Null hypothesis (H0 d) is rejected for the above factors at 5% level of significance. Hence there is significant relationship between designation of the respondents and the above self-actualization factors.

TABLE VI DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE SALARY OF THE ACADEMIC LEADERS AND SELF-ACTUALIZATION

Variables	Salary	N	Mean	F	Sig. (p)
	Below 100000	21	12.84		
Aggentance	100000 to 150000	87	10.14	2.453	0.074
Acceptance	150001 to 210000	43	12.48	2.433	0.074
	Above 210000	27	10.44		
	Below 100000	21	13.84		
Authenticity	100000 to 150000	87	12.14	1.897	0.064
	150001 to 210000	43	10.48	1.097	0.064
	Above 210000	27	14.46		
	Below 100000	21	12.23		
Purpose	100000 to 150000	87	10.19	2.137	0.015
	150001 to 210000	43	12.44		
	Above 210000	27	12.30		
	Below 100000	21	13.94		0.615
Efficient moreontion of modity	100000 to 150000	87	12.32	0.516	
Efficient perception of reality	150001 to 210000	43	12.94	0.316	
	Above 210000	27	12.86		
	Below 100000	21	10.48		
Humanitarianism	100000 to 150000	87	11.07	1.782	0.046
Humanitarianism	150001 to 210000	43	11.35	1.782	0.046
	Above 210000	27	11.40		
	Below 100000	21	11.80		
Good Moral Intuition	100000 to 150000	87	12.15	2.796	0.145
Good Moral Intuition	150001 to 210000	43	12.25	2.790	0.145
	Above 210000	27	12.38		

	Below 100000	21	11.36		
	100000 to 150000	87	11.23	2.255	0.277
Creative spirit	150001 to 210000	43	11.53	2.355	0.277
	Above 210000	27	11.64		
	Below 100000	21	12.52		
	100000 to 150000	87	12.60	0.254	0.856
Peak Experiences	150001 to 210000	43	12.49	0.234	0.830
	Above 210000	27	12.62		
	Below 100000	21	12.56		
	100000 to 150000	87	11.10	3.954	0.003
Equanimity	150001 to 210000	43	11.45	3.934	0.003
	Above 210000	27	11.82		
	Below 100000	21	11.96		
Continued Freshness of	100000 to 150000	87	12.21	0.639	0.534
Appreciation	150001 to 210000	43	12.30	0.039	0.334
	Above 210000	27	12.38		

Since the P value is greater than 0.05 for Acceptance (P=0.084), Authenticity (P=0.064), Efficient perception of reality (P=0.615), Good Moral (P=0.145), Creative spirit (P=0.277), Peak Experiences (P=0.856) and Continued Freshness of Appreciation (P=0.534). So the Null Hypothesis (H0d) is accepted at 5% level of significance. Hence there is no significant relationship between above mentioned factors of self-actualization and the salary of respondents.

But the P value is less than 0.05 for Equanimity (p=0.003), Purpose (P=0.015) and Humanitarianism (p=0.046).

So the Null hypothesis (H0d) is rejected for the above factors. Hence there is significant relationship between these factors of self -actualization and the salary of the respondents.

TABLE VII DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE YEARS OF THE EXPERIENCE OF THE ACADEMIC LEADERS AND SELF-ACTUALIZATION

Variables	Years of Experience	N	Mean	F	Sig. (p)
	Below 15	28	10.84		
Aggentance	15 to 20 yrs	50	12.14	1.232	0.319
Acceptance	21 to 26 yrs	54	10.48	1.232	0.319
	Above 26	46	12.46		
	Below 15	28	12.84		
	15 to 20 yrs	50	12.14	1.302	0.318
Authenticity	21 to 26 yrs	54	10.48	1.302	0.318
-	Above 26	46	12.46		
	Below 15	28	11.34	2.267	0.011
	15 to 20 yrs	50	12.09		
Purpose	21 to 26 yrs	54	11.33	2.207	0.011
	Above 26	46	12.80		
	Below 15	28	11.56		
Efficient	15 to 20 yrs	50	12.72	0.226	0.714
perception of	21 to 26 yrs	54	10.95	0.226	0.714
reality	Above 26	46	12.86		
Humanitarianism	Below 15	28	12.48	1.492	0.061
rumamarianism	15 to 20 yrs	50	11.07	1.492	0.001

	21 to 26 yrs	54	11.35		
	Above 26	46	10.43		
	Below 15	28	11.81		
Card Manal	15 to 20 yrs	50	12.12	0.643	0.534
Good Moral Intuition	21 to 26 yrs	54	12.22	0.043	0.534
	Above 26	46	13.33		
	Below 15	28	11.36		
	15 to 20 yrs	50	11.23	1.436	0.236
Creative spirit	21 to 26 yrs	54	13.53	1.430	0.236
	Above 26	46	10.64		
	Below 15	28	11.52	0.156	0.936
	15 to 20 yrs	50	11.60		
Peak	21 to 26 yrs	54	11.49		0.930
Experiences	Above 26	46	11.62		
	Below 15	28	12.56		
Equanimity	15 to 20 yrs	50	11.10	2.675	0.007
Equanimity	21 to 26 yrs	54	11.45	2.073	0.007
	Above 26	46	11.82		
	Below 15	28	11.96		
Continued Freshness of	15 to 20 yrs	50	12.21	0.194	0.834
Appreciation	21 to 26 yrs	54	12.30	0.194	0.834
• • •	Above 26	46	12.38		

Since the P value is greater than 0.05 for Acceptance (P=0.319), Authenticity (P=0.318), Efficient perception of reality (P=0.714), Good Moral (P=0.534), Creative spirit (P=0.236), Humanitarianism (p=0.061) Peak Experiences (P=0.936) and Continued Freshness of Appreciation (P=0.834). So the Null Hypothesis (H0e) is accepted at 5% level of significance.

Hence there is no significant relationship between above mentioned factors of self -actualization and the experience of the respondents.

However, the P value less than 0.05 in case of Equanimity (p=0.007), and Purpose (P=0.011), at 5% level of significance.

Hence, the null hypothesis (H0e) is rejected and there is a significant relationship between Equanimity & Purpose with experience of the respondents. So the hypothesis H0e is partially accepted and partially rejected.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper is an investigation about impact of demographic profile of the academic leaders on the self -actualization factors. From the above analysis, we can conclude the complete omission or inclusion of demographic profile is

not possible in case of self-actualization factors. When considering the age and educational qualification of the respondents the researcher could not find any relationship between age and educational qualification of the respondents and self-actualization factors. But for the other demographic factors, some self-actualization factors are getting influenced and some are not.

When analyzing about the gender, designation and salary of the respondents, some factors getting influenced and some are not. As a whole we can conclude, some selfactualization factors are influenced by some demographic variables, but at the same time all self-actualization factors are not influenced by all demographic variables.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chitra, A. (2020). Impact of Socio Economic Status of Parents' on the Emotional Intelligence of Generation Alpha Kids. International Journal of Latest Technology in Engineering, Management & Applied Science, 9(5), pp. 46-49.
- [2] Gibbs, Leonard Louis. (1968). Home background and selfactualization attainment. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 9, pp. 49-53.
- [3] Gopinath, R. (2020a).Demographic Percipience of Self Actualization among Academic Leaders in Tamil Nadu Universities - A Study.NOVYI MIR Research Journal, 5(6), pp.81-91
- [4] Gopinath, R. (2020b).Study on Relationship between Emotional Intelligence and Self Actualization among Academicians of Tamil Nadu Universities. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(2), pp. 5327 - 5337.

- [5] Gopinath, R. (2020c). Prominence of Self-Actualization in Organization. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 29 (3), pp. 11591 – 11602.
- [6] Gopinath, R. (2020d). Impact of Academic Leaders' Self-Actualization on Organisational Commitment in Tamilnadu Universities Through Structural Equation Modelling. Test engineering and management, (83), pp. 24898–24904.
- [7] Gopinath, R. (2020e). Impact of Academic Leaders' Self-Actualization on Organisational Commitment in Tamilnadu Universities Through Structural Equation Modelling. Test engineering and management, (83), pp. 24898–24904.
- [8] Gopinath, R. (2020 f).Self- Actualization and Job Involvement of Academic Leaders in Tamilnadu Universities: A Relationship Study.NOVYI MIR Research Journal, 5(7), pp. 58-69.
- [9] Gopinath, R., &Chitra, A. (2020). Dynamics of Family Structure on Emotional Intelligence of Secondary School Children. NOVYI MIR Research Journal, 5(5), pp. 105-115.
- [10] Kaufman, S.B. (2018). Self-Actualizing people in the 21st century: Integration with Contemporary Research on Personality and Well-Being. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*
- [11] Keller Suzanne & Marisa Zavalloni. (1964). Ambition and social class: a specification. *Social Forces*, 43, pp. 58-70.
- [12] Kerckhoff, Alan C. (1972). Socialization and Social Class. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.
- [13] Mann Michael. (1970). the social cohesion of liberal democracy. American Sociological Review, 35, pp. 423-39.
- [14] Mann Michael. (1973). Consciousness and Action among the Western Working Class. London: Macmillan
- [15] Maslow, A. H. (1943). A Theory of Human Motivation. Psychological Review, 50, pp.370-396.
- [16] Maslow, A. H. (1968). Towards a psychology of being (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- [17] Noll, G. A., & Watkins, J. T. (1974). Differences between persons seeking encounter group experiences and others on the Personal Orientation Inventory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, pp. 206-209
- [18] Otten, M. W. (1977). Sex differences on the Personal Orientation Inventory. *Journal of Personal Assessment*, 41, pp. 63-65.
- [19] Parkin, Frank. (1971). Class Inequality and Political Order. London: MacGibbon and Kee.
- [20] Rogers, C. R. (1951). Client-Centered therapy. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- [21] Scanzoni John. (1967). Socialization, achievement, and achievement values. *American Sociological Review*, 32, pp. 449-
- [22] Simmons Roberta G., & Morris Rosenberg. (1971). Functions of children's perceptions of the stratification system. American Sociological Review.
- [23] Turner, Ralph H. (1964). The Social Context of Ambition. San Francisco: Chandler Publishing Company.
- [24] Gopinath, R. (2020 a). Self-Actualization and Job Involvement of Academic Leaders in Tamilnadu Universities: A Relationship Study. NOVYI MIR Research Journal, 5(7), pp. 58-69.
- [25] Gopinath, R. (2020 b). Investigation of Relationship between Self-Actualization and Job Satisfaction among Academic Leaders in Tamil Nadu Universities. International *Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 29(7), pp. 4780 4789.
- [26] Gopinath, R. (2020c). Impact of Job Satisfaction on Organizational Commitment among the Academic Leaders of Tamil Nadu Universities. GEDRAG & Organisatie Review, 33(2), pp. 2337-2349.
- [27] Gopinath, R. (2020d).Prominence of Self-Actualization in Organization. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 29 (3), pp. 11591 – 11602.
- [28] Gopinath, R. (2020 e). Influence of Job Satisfaction and Job Involvement of Academicians with special reference to Tamil Nadu Universities, *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(3), pp. 4296-4306.
- [29] Gopinath, R. (2020f). Demographic Percipience of Self Actualization among Academic Leaders in Tamil Nadu Universities - A Study, NOVYI MIR Research Journal, 5(6), pp. 81-91
- [30] Gopinath, R. (2020 g). Role on Employees' Attitude in Work Place. GEDRAG & Organisatie Review, 33(2), pp. 1461-1475.

- [31] Gopinath, R. (2020 h). Study on Relationship between Emotional Intelligence and Self–Actualization among Academic Leaders of Tamil Nadu Universities. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(2), pp. 5327 - 5337.
- [32] Gopinath, R. (2020i). Impact of Academic Leaders' Self-Actualization on Organisational Commitment in Tamilnadu Universities Through Structural Equation Modelling. Test Engineering and Management, (83), pp. 24898–24904.
- [33] Gopinath, R. (2020j). Job Involvement and Organizational Commitment of Academic Leaders in Tamil Nadu Universities – A relationship study with structural equation modeling. *Journal of Critical Reviews*, 7 (19), pp. 1857-1864.
- [34] Gopinath, R. (2020 k). Emotional Intelligence's Influence on Self-Actualization— A Study Among Academic Leaders of Tamil Nadu Universities. *International Journal of Management*, 11 (7), pp. 1314-1323.
- [35] Gopinath, R. (2020l). Influence of Self Actualization on Job Involvement and Organizational Commitment among Academic Leaders with Structural Equation Modeling, *Journal of Critical Reviews*, 7 (19), pp. 3647-3654.
- [36] Gopinath, R. (2020). An Investigation of the relationship between Self-Actualization and Job Satisfaction of Academic Leaders. *International Journal of Management*, 11(8), pp. 753-763.
- [37] Gopinath, R., & Chitra, A. (2020). Emotional Intelligence and Job Satisfaction of Employees' at Sago Companies in Salem District: Relationship Study. *Adalya Journal*, 9(6), pp. 203-217.
- [38] Gopinath, R., & Kalpana, R. (2020a). Relationship of job involvement with Job Satisfaction. *Adalya Journal*, 9(7), pp. 306-315.
- [39] Gopinath, R., & Kalpana, R. (2020b). Confirmatory Factor Analysis in Self Actualization, GEDRAG & Organisatie Review, 33(3), pp. 748-757.