# A Study on Tourist Push and Pull Motives to Visit Farm **Tourism Sites in Kerala**

M.C. Neethu<sup>1\*</sup> and Dr.N. Ramyaprabha<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Research Scholar, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education, Coimbatore, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education, Coimbatore,

India

E-mail: <sup>1</sup>ammuneethu210490@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>ramyaprabha.nagarajan@kahedu.edu.in ORCID: 1https://orcid.org/0009-0006-9573-2870, 2https://orcid.org/0009-0009-1071-7092

(Received 04 November 2024; Revised 20 December 2024, Accepted 06 January 2025; Available online 28 March 2025)

Abstract - Farm tourism has grown in popularity in Kerala, India, attracting a diverse range of tourists looking for authentic and immersive agricultural experiences. This research investigates the push and pull factors influencing farm tourists in Kerala. A convenience sampling technique was used to collect the sample of 200 tourists randomly selected from various parts of Kerala. Statistical tools such as simple percentages, chisquare test, factor analysis, and weighted average method were used to analyze the collected data. Understanding these factors allows tourism stakeholders and local communities to better tailor their offerings to meet the needs and desires of farm tourists, maximizing the potential benefits of this growing niche market.

Keywords: Farm, Tourist, Pull, Push factors, Motives, Desires, Practices, Influencing, etc.,

## I. INTRODUCTION

Farm tourism, also known as agritourism or agrotourism, involves tourists participating in agricultural activities, experiencing rural life, and learning about a specific region's farming practices. Kerala has experienced a growth in farm tourism in recent years, thanks to its lush greenlandscapes and abundant biodiversity. Despite its growing popularity, there has been little research into the encouraging elements for tourists to participate in farm-based experiences. The purpose of this research is to fill that gap by identifying the push and pull factors that influence farm tourists' decisions to experience Kerala.

Kerala has captured the attention of the world tourism area. Kerala's tourism brand is among India's top 100 and has been awarded the highly coveted 'Super Brand' status. Kerala has been named 'One of National Geographic Traveler's 50 mustsee destinations,' it is also a 'partner state' of the World Tourism and Travel Council (Harrison & Husbands, 1996). While tourism initiatives date back to the late 1980s, the state government has only been focusing on tourism development since 1995 (Crompton, 1979).

In 1995, several tourism planning and development initiatives were introduced after the tourism policy (Dann, 1977). Since 1995, Kerala has taken important steps, and the results show its impact today (Lea, 1993).Farm tourism is a recent development in Kerala (Gurumoorthy et al., 2019). As an agrarian state, Kerala has great prospects for Farm Tourism with little additional investment (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1997). According to the reports, Kerala has 30.22 lakhhectares of gross harvesting area, which accounts for 56.78% of the state's total land area. Tea, coffee, rubber, pepper, cardamom, and ginger plantations cover more than a third of the cropped area, and coconut plantations cover another third. Paddy cultivation covers approximately 350,000 lakh hectares of land in the state.

It is quite possible to convert potential cropped areas of the state into tourist attractions with minimal intercession, thereby transferring tourism profits directly to farmers (Sánchez-Ancajima et al., 2024). The different plans pursued now are developing Kerala's farms/plantations to attract visitors by presenting an enjoyable picture of the farm and agriculture, to revitalize Kerala's agriculture sector through tourism (Assegid & Ketema, 2023; Ganapathy & Thangam, 2017). The government's decision to allow 5% of farmland for tourism purposes has been a boon to the tourism industry (Khaydarova et al., 2024). The state is planning numerous projects to prepare Kerala's farms or plantations to entice globe trotters by showing a glowing picture of the farm and agriculture, energizing the agriculture sector through tourism, and increasing tourist traffic (The Hindu, 2012).

## **II. BENEFITS OF FARM TOURISM**

Farm Tourism has the potential to transform traditional agriculture's economic landscape. The advantages of farm tourism are numerous. It would provide numerous forthright and secondary benefits to farmers and rural residents.

Advantages: - Farmer employment opportunities

- Farmers can supplement their income to protect against income fluctuations.
- Cultural sea changes in city and village populations, such as common and individual ethics.

- Contacts with urban people can help farmers to enhance their life conditions.
- Benefits to the city people, as they can experience village life and agricultural farmactivities.
- It promotes a better lifestyle and agrarian development.
- Assist in reducing the impact on other conventional tourist destinations.

## Factors Influencing Push and Pull Factors Pull Factors

- Natural Beauty: Kerala's lush green landscapes, picturesque hills, and backwaters drawvisitors, who are looking for a peaceful and scenic environment (d'Amore, 1993).
- **Traditional Farming Practices:** Tourists are drawn to experiences and are eager to learnabout the age-old farming techniques and agricultural traditions.
- Fresh and Organic Produce: The allure of tasting and purchasing fresh and organic fruits, vegetables, and spices straight from the farms.
- **Cultural Immersion:** Farm tourism allows visitors to immerse themselves in Kerala's rich culture, traditions, and healthy lifestyle (The Hindu, 2006).
- **Eco-Friendly experiences:** Environmentally conscious tourists are drawn to farmtourism's sustainable and eco-friendly practices.
- **Escape from Urban Life:** Travellers take relief in the peace of rural settings as a diversion from the rush of city life.

## Push Factors

- **Desire for Unique Experiences:** Tourists are motivated by the opportunity to participatein something different and authentic, away from typical tourist attractions.
- **Curiosity about Agriculture:** The opportunity to learn about the farming process and its significance in the region's economy and culture.
- **Growing Agro-tourism Interest:** There is a growing awareness and interest in agro-tourism as a distinct and fulfilling travel option.
- Seeking Relaxation: The allure of a relaxed and leisurely experience, away from thestresses of everyday life.
- Wellness and Health Benefits: Some tourists are drawn to rural areas because of the perceived health benefits of organic produce and fresh air.
- Supporting Local Communities: Tourists may

be motivated by a desire to support localfarmers and communities through responsible tourism practices (Pearce et al., 1998).

## Statement of the Problem

Finding the push and pull elements that influence travelers' decisions to pick farm tourism as their Kerala vacation experience is the first aim of this study (Thangam et al., 2020). The push factors are internal motivations or forces generated by tourists, whereas the pull factors are external elements inherent in the destination that attract tourists (Uysal & Jurowski, 1994). Understanding these factors allows stakeholders to devise appropriate strategies for promoting and sustaining farm tourism in Kerala, promoting rural development and community empowerment.

## **Objectives**

- To determine the farm tourism scenario in Kerala.
- To identify the push and pull factors influencing tourist satisfaction levels in Kerala.
- To study the factors influencing tourist satisfaction levels in Kerala.

## Research Methodology

## Area of the study:

Kerala was chosen for this study.

## Sampling Design

A convenient sampling technique was used to select the sample of 200 tourists from Kerala.

## Data Collection

• Primary Data

The study is entirely based on primary data gathered through a well-defined interviewschedule. The study was carried out using a pre-planned interview schedule.

• Secondary Data

Secondary data is gathered by consulting journals, articles, and magazines, as well asvarious relevant websites.

## Analysis and Interpretation

Based on the data collected from the tourists, analysis and interpretation were performed.

Further, the following specific tools were used.

- Simple Percentage
- Chi-square Analysis
- Factor Analysis

• Weighted Average Method

## Limitations of the Study

- This study is restricted to Kerala. Its findings and recommendations may not apply to all regions.
- Time and cost are the factors that have limited the sample size to 200.

## **III.REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Deepthi & Jancy Davy, (2019), examine the different kinds of travel motivations that affect the choices made by visitors to agritourism destinations. This research contributes theoretically to the understanding of visitor motivational expectations by addressing the motivating variables. It also proposes practical implications for the establishment and marketing of agritourism.

Valencia et al., (2022), study looked at the correlation between visitors' motives for visiting farm tourism zones and their preferred activities. According to the study, when it comes to visiting farm tourism destinations, travellers have stronger pull reasons than push motives.

Thakur & Monga, (2022), the purpose of the study is to assess the several facets of rural agricultural tourism accommodations that influence visitors' levels of happiness or dissatisfaction. It also aims to inform agritourism operators about the requirements and expectations of visitors to enhance the quality of services offered. The research findings back up suggestions made by government agencies and agritourism operators to increase customer satisfaction and promote rural and cultural tourism more broadly (Kokkranikal & Morrison, 2002).

Dewandini, (2021), states that findings indicate Kampung Flory Agritourism is capable of satisfying customers. Meanwhile, Spearman rank correlation study results demonstrated that product quality, service quality, emotions, cost, and convenience are all related to consumer happiness. On the other hand, the cost of admission has no relationship with customer happiness (Cohen, 1972). Moraru, (2019), the primary goal of this research is to identify the factors influencingtourists to select agritourism as a type of leisure using worldwide literature. The correlations between visitors' preferences for agritourism activities and their motivations demonstrate that they are interested in activities that are congruent with the initial reasons that prompted their decision to engage in agritourism.

## Research Gap

Since accurate studies on farm tourism, especially the pull and push motives in customersatisfaction in farm tourism, were very scarce, this attempt to determine the motives and motivation of farm tourism in Kerala became very relevant. This present study is an endeavor it fills the gap in this area. Consequently, the gap can be addressed by this attempt by the researcher, encouraging others to focus on this area.

#### IV. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Variables	Distribution	Number	Frequency	
Gender	Male	112	56	
	Female	88	44	
Age	Below 30 years	82	41	
	30 – 50 years	66	33	
	Above 50 years	52	26	
Marital Status	Married	106	53	
	Unmarried	94	47	
Educational	Up to HSC	72	36	
Qualification	Degree/Diploma	80	40	
	Others	48	24	
Monthly Income	Below Rs.25,000	60	30	
-	Rs.25,001 –	82	41	
	50,000			
	Above Rs.50,000	58	29	

Source: Primary data

**Table I** The demographic profile of farm tourists in Kerala is shown in the table. Gendermakes up 56% of male tourists. The age of the tourist reveals that 41% are under the age of 30. According to marital status, 53% are married. According to educational qualifications, 40% havea degree or diploma. The Monthly earnings of tourists show that 41% of the earnings range from Rs. 25,000 to Rs. 50,000 every month.

Factors	Statements	Factor	Square	Total	Eigen	% of Variance
		Loadings	Loading		Value	Explained
	Nature Beauty	0.824	0.679			
	Traditional farmingpractices	0.811	0.658			
	Fresh and organic produce	0.877	0.769			
	Culture immersion	0.775	0.601			
Pull factors	Escape from urbanlife	0.785	0.616			
(0.937)	Eco-friendly experiences	0.875	0.766	4.089	11.542	22.621
	A desire for unique experiences	0.625	0.391			
Push	Curiosity aboutAgriculture	0.845	0.714	1.768	5.265	9.729
factors	Growing interest in Agro-tourism	0.524	0.275			
(0.867)	Relaxation	0.623	0.388			

TABLE II FACTORS INFLUENCING TOURIST PUSH AND PULL MOTIVES TO VISIT FARM TOURISM SITES

Source: Primary data

**Table II** shows that two factors were identified out of the total variance. The influence oftwo factors on the pull and push factors of farm tourists in Kerala was revealed by factor analysis. The scores obtained were greater than 0.775, indicating that the statements have a relatively high degree of internal consistency and acceptance. Pull factors with Eigen Values of 11.542 and 9.720and reliability of 0.937, are the most important factors for this study. The next important factor extracted through factor analysis is push factors, which have an Eigen Value of 5.265, a percentage of the variance of 9.719, and a reliability coefficient, of 0.867. The factor analysis confirms that all the factors and statements have a

significant impact on the pull and push factors of farm tourists in Kerala.

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling	0.512
Adequacy	
Approx. Chi-square	231.81
Bartlett's test of Sphericiydf	55
Sig.	0.000

**Table III** shows that, 5.1, KMO and Bartlett's test signify the value i.e. 0.512, is greater than the value 0.5. Bartlett signifies the connection between correlation tables.

#### TABLE IV CHI-SQUARE TEST

Significant Relationship between Demographic Profile and Satisfaction Level of the Tourist

Factors	L	evel of Attitu	de	Total	χ <sup>2</sup> Value	Table	Remarks
	Low	Moderate	High			Value	
		G	ender		•	•	
Male	35	42	35	112	1.483	5.991	NS
Female	22	22 40		88			
		Age	(Years)				
Less than 30 years	24	33	25	82	7.802	9.488	NS
30 – 50 years	24	17	25	66			
Above 50 years	25	15	12	52			
		Educationa	l Qualif	ication			
Up to HSC	20	30	22	72	2.605	9.488	NS
Degree/Diploma	26	29	25	80			
Others	15	23	10	48			
		Month	ly Incon	ne			
Less than Rs.25,000	22	18	20	60	8.233	9.488	NS
Rs.25,001 - 50,000	30	35	17	82			
More than Rs.50,000	12	28	18	58			
		Marit	tal Statu	s			
Married	37	41	28	106	1.032 5.9	5.991	NS
Single	30	33	31	94			

#### Source: Primary data

**Table IV** shows that at the 5% level of significance, age, educational qualification, monthly income, and marital status are not significant. This table shows that there is no correlation

between these demographic factors and tourist satisfaction levels in Kerala.

Factors	1	2	3	4	5	Totalscore(sum of wxi)	Mean Score(weighted average)	Rank
Nature beauty	44	82	52	12	10			
wx1	44	164	156	48	50	462	17.55	5
Fresh and organic produce	12	122	52	4	10			
wx2	44	188	144	16	50	442	16.79	4
Traditional farming practices	60	50	56	18	16			
wx3	60	100	168	72	80	480	18.24	6
Eco-friendly experiences	40	116	44	0	0			
Wx4	40	232	132	0	0	404	15.35	1
Escape from urban life	48	82	68	2	0			
Wx5	48	164	204	8	0	424	16.11	3
Cultural immersion	44	96	56	4	0			
Wx6	44	192	168	16	0	420	15.96	2

TABLE V TOURIST MOTIVATION TOWARDS FARM TOURISM

**Table V** The ranking of tourist motivation towards farm tourism is revealed. The selected sample tourist ranked ecofriendly experiences first, with a total score of 404 and a mean score of 15.35. 'Cultural immersion' came in second with a total score of 420 and a mean score of 15.96. 'Escape from Urban Life' finished third with a total score of 424 and a mean score of 16.11. Witha total score of 442 and a mean score of 16.79, 'Fresh and Organic Produce' was ranked fourth. 'Nature Beauty' came in fifth place with a total point of 462 and a mean score of 17.55. 'Traditional Farming Practices'

finished last with a total score of 480 and a mean score of 18.24.

#### V. SUGGESTIONS

- According to the data, 56 percent of the total tourists belong to the male gender. As there has been an increase in the number of female tourist groups in recent times, special activities can be planned and executed by the farm as attractive involvement in this field.
- As most of the total tourists belong to an average income group, activities can be planned in such a way that the farm exclusively rewards the tourists with fresh organic products from the farm.
- Student group tourists can be invited to have a firsthand experience of farming, as most urban areas, where educational institutions are established, have a dearth of farms and orchards.
- The data analysis shows that pull factors like tranquil settings and cultural experiences motivate tourists to visit rural farms. So, the basic amenities of such areas where the farms are situated can be improved. Better transportation facilities, hospitals, and other medical facilities, safe vehicle parking lots, etc, should be planned which will enhance the quality experience offered by the farm.
- When we implement modernization, care must be taken not to destroy the essence of the rural environment and cultural experience, as this is a major pull factor. Hence, careful planning for an amalgamation of ethnicity and modern facilities should be made available by the Farm Tourism providers to attract tourists from urban areas.

#### **VI.** CONCLUSION

The study's findings shed light on the major push and pull factors influencing farm tourists'decisions to visit Kerala. The desire for authentic and unique experiences which can be an escapefrom urban life, and an interest in sustainable and ecofriendly tourism are all push factors. The pull factors, on the other hand, include the tranquil natural environment, cultural richness, traditional farming practices, and the warm hospitality provided by local communities. Understanding these factors can assist tourism operators and policymakers in designing tailored farm tourism experiences that cater to tourist preferences and expectations, maximizing the sector's economic and socio-cultural benefits.

#### Future Scope

The study presents the potential for development in behavioral intentions, expectations, and experience, the impact of farm tourism on host communities, farm tourism entrepreneurship, and the role of farm tourism in sustainable development.

#### REFERENCES

- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1977). Attitude-behavior relations: A theoretical analysis and review of empirical research. *Psychological bulletin*, 84(5), 888-918.
- [2] Assegid, W., & Ketema, G. (2023). Assessing the Effects of Climate Change on Aquatic Ecosystems. *Aquatic Ecosystems and Environmental Frontiers*, *1*(1), 6-10.
- [3] Cohen, E. (1972). Toward a sociology of international tourism. *Social research*, 164-182.
- Crompton, J. L. (1979). Motivations for pleasure vacation. Annals of tourism research, 6(4), 408-424. https://doi.org/10.1016/0160-7383(79)90004-5
- [5] d'Amore, L. J. (1993). A code of ethics and guidelines for socially and environmentally responsible tourism. *Journal of Travel Research*, 31(3), 64-66. https://doi.org/10.1177/004728759303100311
- [6] Dann, G. M. (1977). Anomie, ego-enhancement and tourism. Annals of tourism research, 4(4), 184-194. https://doi.org/10.1016/0160-7383(77)90037-8
- [7] Deepthi, P.V., & Jancy Davy. (2019). Tourists Motivations on Agri Tourism in Kerala. *International Journal of Science and Research* (*IJSR*).
- [8] Ganapathy, S., & Thangam, A. (2017). Empowerment of Women Through Creating Awareness Training on Value Addition of Netricereals Foods. *International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT & Management,* 7(8), 19-21.
- [9] Gurumoorthy, T. R., Nachammai, S., & Thangam, A. (2019). Problems Faced by Engineering Students on Internship Training Program. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Educational Research*, 12(3), 58-68.
- [10] Harrison, L. C., & Husbands, W. (1996). Practicing responsible tourism: International case studies in tourism planning, policy and development. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- [11] Khaydarova, S., Khujamova, Y., Toshbaeva, M., Muhitdinov, D., Mamanazarova, G., Tukhtakulova, O., & Karimov, N. (2024). The Vital Role of Libraries in Enriching Tourism Experiences. *Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services*, 14(2), 11–16. https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2024.14.2.02
- [12] Kokkranikal, J., & Morrison, A. (2002). Entrepreneurship and sustainable tourism: The houseboats of Kerala. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 4(1), 7-20. https://doi.org/10.1177/146735840200400102
- [13] Lea, J. P. (1993). Tourism development ethics in the Third World. Annals of Tourism Research, 20(4), 701-715. https://doi.org/10.1016/0160-7383(93)90092-H
- [14] Moraru, R. A. (2019). Tourists' motivations and preferences for agritourism activities.
- [15] Pearce, P. L., Morrison, A. M., & Rutledge, J. L. (1998). Tourism: Bridges across continents.
- [16] Sánchez-Ancajima, R. A., Jiménez-Carrión, M., Gutiérrez, F., Alfaro, A. O. H., Lujan-Segura, E., & Blas, J. A. G. (2024). Intelligent System for Tourist Guidance in Tumbes-Perú. Journal of Wireless Mobile Networks, Ubiquitous Computing, and Dependable Applications, 15(3), 325-353. https://doi.org/10.58346/JOWUA.2024.I3.022
- [17] Thakur, J., & Monga, N. (2022). experience and satisfaction of tourists over agritourism in Himachal Pradesh. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 3219-3227.
- [18] Thangam, A., & Ganapathy, S., & Nachammai, S. (2020). Problems And Benefits Experienced by Women Students on An Internship Training Program (ITP). *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, 7(4), 1498-1503.
- [19] The Hindu. (2006). Tourism beckons.
- [20] The Hindu. (2012). Kerala Tourism: Paradises in the World.
- [21] Uysal, M., & Jurowski, C. (1994). Testing the push and pull factors. Annals of tourism research, 21(4), 844-846.
- [22] Valencia, J. P., Cerio, C. T., & Biares, R. R. (2022). Tourists' motives and activity preferences to farm tourism sites in the Philippines: application of push and pull theory. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 8(1), 2104706. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2022.2104706