Investigation on Fault Analysis for Power Transformers Using Adaptive Differential Relay
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Abstract - This article presents the fault analysis and differential protection scheme of the three phase Power Transformers (PT). The relay operates mainly during the internal fault condition and it must be insensitive to any fault outside the zone of protection. In this paper, a fuzzy logic based differential protection schemes has been used. It blocks the tripping through outer fault and internal fault conditions. The simulation result of FLC with disparity relay for 3 phase transformer shows fast tripping during internal fault and also avoids the mal operation of relay during external fault.
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I. INTRODUCTION

PT (Power transformers) is the most important mechanism in a transmission line. So they require proper analysis and protection during faults period to avoid PT damage [1]. For economic and reliable operation of power supply to the consumer needs proper protection methods. The fast tripping during faults and more reliable which separates the internal faults, external faults and other operating condition (like magnetic inrush current, CT saturation [2]). On the other hand, the protection schemes to avoid mal function or false tripping during faults occurred out of the protection zone [3].

Differential safety is supported to the fact at any fault in electrical component would source the current incoming is different and leaving. Thus examine the two currents both in value and in section or both and difficulty a trip output if the distinction exceeds a predetermined set fee value. In current trends developed technology toward progressed sensitivity, selectivity, and time of operation has been offered to conquer the associated problems. But it requires massive data’s and working time is greater. In this article an developed FLC with differential relay is proposed that's capable of differentiating between internal and external faults, reduced tripping time, magnetizing inrush current [4], [5]. Developed protection method is fast of circuit breaker after the elimination of fault.

II. PRINCIPLE OF DIFFERENTIAL RELAY

The Block Diagram of Differential Relay as presented in Figure 1 is based on differentiated with primary and secondary current in windings [6].

During the normal operating condition, the current I₁ enters the primary winding and I₂ leaves the secondary winding of the transformer must be equal. If any unbalances are encountered in between both the currents which are due to the faults, the transformer currents are different. The relay has been activate and produced signals to the breaker to the transformer [7].

III. FUZZY RULE BASED DIFFERENTIAL RELAY

The block diagram of Differential relay based on Fuzzy System is presented in Figure 2. The current transformer data is taken as first data. By using this data the degree of difference currents are designed.
The $I_d$ (differential current) is from,

$$I_d = I_1 - I_2$$

Primary and secondary currents are compared by using the differential relay. If found any unrelated data, the signal is send to FLC [8, 9]. The FLC is developed to create a difference between inner faults and additional operating conditions of transformer. The desired output value is high compared to set value, the relay cutoff the breaker. The trip choice is based upon the qualified amplitude signals to the fundamental current module evaluated to apparatus of differential output signal [10].

The developed FLC based nine rules to differentiate between inner faults and previous operating setting to create a difference between two effective conditions i.e.,
1. SS (Steady state)
2. Inner faults.

The nine FLC inference rules be classified in to 3 categories depends on input variable. In table I represents the FLC values. “L” is Low, “M” is Medium, “H” is High, “SS” is Steady, “F” is Fault.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I ref</th>
<th>I dif</th>
<th>O/P</th>
<th>Trip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>OFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>OFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>OFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>OFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Input variable 1 indicating as low value in primary side is shown Figure 3.
Input variable 2 indicating as low value in the secondary side is shown Figure 4.

Both the input variables are low to the transformer and the output has the steady valves is shown in Figure 5.
IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this paper, for purpose of analysis 200MVA, 50Hz, Delta /Star connected Three phase transformer is used [11], [12]. The results are discussed with 2 cases. Study I: the Fault within the inner fault. The fault arise in transmission line within the protection zone, if the differential current acquire from primary current and secondary current is increased above threshold value is 0.55, the FIS system gives the control signal to relay to trip the circuit breaker. After clearing the fault, circuit breaker auto-reclosing the circuit and give the supply to the load [13], [14] & [15]. The output waveform of Fuzzy Inference System is shown in Figure 6.

![Fig. 6 Output Waveform of FIS System](image)

After receiving the signal from FIS system, then the relay send the signal to control breaker during the inner fault from the period of 0.1ms to 0.33ms as shown in Figure 7. It is concluded that the relay output signal is protected. After that the fault clearing period the relay auto-reclosed and give the supply to the load. The total output current waveform of fuzzy based differential relay as shown in Figure 8. During the faults period 0.1ms to 0.33ms the relay in turnoff period, that means the input does not give the load. After that the fault cleared, the relay auto-reclosed and gives the supply to the load from period of 0.33ms.

![Fig. 7 Output Waveform of Differential Relay](image)

![Fig. 8 Output Current Waveform](image)
The total PQ output power during the fault condition as in the Figure 9, it shows from the period of 0.1ms to 0.33ms the output power to the load is nil.

![PQ Power Output](image)

**Fig. 9 Output Power Waveform**

Study II: External Faults (Out of protection zone) are faults accrued in the outside of the proposed system. The FIS is the better differential relay in external under fault condition. Relay is tested on all external faults [18], [19]. Simulation results of the proposed system are presented in Fig 10 under outside fault condition [20].

![Phase A-G External Fault](image)

**Fig. 10 Phase A-G External Fault**

In the current during the transformer operating period of 0.1 to 0.3 under external fault, in this period, differential relay should not provide the tripping signal to breaker. So that the fuzzy control avoid the false tripping of relay from the fault outside the protective zone. The simulation output waveform of phase B-G as shown in Figure 11 during external fault condition.

![Phase B-G External Fault](image)

**Fig. 11 Phase B-G External Fault**
Simulation result for phase C-G as shown in Figure 12, the line current is high during an external fault condition the designed relay signals but it does not give the tripping signal, so the relay is more sensibility and reliability.

The fuzzy inference procedure as presented in Figure 13. Input 1 is indicated as primary currents of power transformer and Input 2 indicated as secondary currents of power transformer.

Both the input current are compare with fuzzy rules and give the output depends upon the rules.
The Surface Viewer as shown Figure 14, three dimensional curves that represents the mapping from I₁ and I₂. Because this curve represents a two input currents (I₁ and I₂) compares and shows one output depends upon fuzzy rules. Accordingly, the Surface Viewer is equipped with drop down menus X (input1), Y (input2) and Z (output).

V. RESULT ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The Fuzzy Inference Based differential relays protect and isolate the transformer under different fault conditions using the circuit breakers. The developed system have rejection trip signal for external faults.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Existing System</th>
<th>Proposed System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AG</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is concluded from the above results the developed FLC with differential relay characterize a proper action to concern trip signal a large amount quicker than conventional relay. The existing system during an inner fault and neglect the mal function in external fault.

VI. CONCLUSION

FLC based differential relay for transformer has been analyzed and presented. The proposed system is performed with various fault conditions and it is concluded that the developed system under FLC performed better. FLC Based Differential Relay was intelligent to differentiate between inner faults and external faults. Simulation results show that fuzzy based differential relay is fast response in internal fault condition and more reliability. The inner and external faults are uninvolved; the detached phases are connected automatically based on reclosing incident. If formulate relay a novel safety device for transformer security and stability of supply.
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